

1
2
3
4
5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7

8 **LOUIS ACOSTA,**

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 **CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF**
12 **CORRECTIONS AND**
13 **REHABILITATION, et al.,**

14 Defendants.

Case No. 1:20-cv-01209-DAD-EPG-PC

**ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' EX
PARTE APPLICATION FOR
EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND**

(ECF No. 28)

15 Defendants McNeal, Montoya, and Moore have filed an *ex parte* application for extension
16 of time in which to respond to the First Amended Complaint. Having considered the application,
17 the Court finds good cause to grant such extension request.

18 Accordingly, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:**

- 19 1. Defendants' request for an extension of time (ECF No. 28) is granted; and
20 2. Defendants shall file their responsive pleading within twenty-eight days of service of
21 the Court's ruling on the pending Findings and Recommendations recommending that
22 Plaintiff's motion for leave to amend be granted (ECF No. 26).

23
24 IT IS SO ORDERED.

25 Dated: **July 15, 2021**

26 /s/ Eric P. Gray
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27
28